UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/505,407	08/23/2004	Vladimir Bykov	1505-1052	8979
466 YOUNG & TH	7590 07/20/200 OMPSON	EXAMINER		
209 Madison St		VAKILI, ZOHREH		
Suite 500 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/505,407	BYKOV ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
ZOHREH VAKILI	1614	

	ZOTITETT VYTTET	1014
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 July 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, which places the with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.076)	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropriate extension fee nally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed was a property or any external part of the second sec</li></ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
AMENDMENTS		
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading in the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading in the proposed amendment(s). They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below).</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	ΓE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		man liant Amana durant (DTOL 204)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>16 and 17</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidavi	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered it or other evidence is necessary and
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea , and was not earlier presented.  Se	al and/or appellant fails to provide a see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attached.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		condition for allowance because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
/Ardin Marschel/		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614		

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant adds new limitations to the claims that requires further search and/or consideration. Specifically, please see claim 16 in which Applicant has added the limitation "cancer selected from the group". This newly added limitation restricts the type of cancer. Further, Applicant adds new limitations to the claims that raise the issue of new matter. New matter issues are raised when Applicant includes limitations in the claims that he/she clearly did not have possession of at the time of invention. The silence of the disclosure regarding treating ovarian cancer is not sufficient to now claim of such a disease because nowhere in the disclosure has Applicant discussed the treatmnet of such disease in the context of the claimed method. Applicant did not have possession of the claimed invention.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The amendment will not be entered into the record because of the addition of new limitations that have not been previously considered and/or searched. However, in the interest of compact prosecution, applicant's remarks are considered, but are not persuasive for the reasons previously made of record in the final rejection (see pages 2-8) and in further view of the following comments: Applicant has amended the claims to indicate that the method is for treating a mutant p53 mediated cancer selected from the group consisting of osteosarcoma, lung adenocarcinoma, Burkitt lymphoma, ovarian carcinoma and colon carcinoma. By amending the claim Applicant has not overcome the rejection. Whether it is a mutant p53 mediated cancer or a mutant p53 mediated cancer selected from the group consisting of osteosarcoma, lung adenocarcinoma, Burkitt lymphoma, ovarian carcinoma and colon carcinoma. Applicant has not shown that one compound or one medication can treat all these different kinds of cancers or diseases such as cancer. These different types of cancer are very broad and very different from each other. Applicant has not shown that the same compound that is enabled to treat lung disease can also treat ovarian cancer. In fact, the introduction of ovarian cancer is new matter and there is no support in the specification for treating such cancer, ovarian cancer. Applicant did not have possession of the claimed invention. Further, Applicant did not have possession of treating ovarian cancer with such compound at the time the application was filed. Applicant's remarks have been fully and carefully considered in their entirety, but fail to be persuasive. This amendment is not being entered into the record and, accordingly, Applicant's remarks related to the enablement rejection by such an amendment are not persuasive. Accordingly, the amendment will not be entered for the reasons above and claims 16 and 17 remain rejected for the reasons of record set forth in the final rejection of April 3, 2009.

/Zohreh Vakili/ Patent Examiner Art Unit 1614